

Gretchen L. Lowe, DC Bar No. 421995 glowe@cftc.gov Luke Marsh, DC Bar No. 475635 lmarsh@cftc.gov Toye Olarinde, VA Bar No. 43075 aolarinde@cftc.gov Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21 st St. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581 Telephone (202) 418-5322 Facsimile (202) 418-5531 Attorneys for Plaintiff Commodity Futures Tradi UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRICATION	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff, vs. SNC ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., formerly Son and Company, Inc., SNC INVESTMENTS, INC., PETER SON and JIN K. CHUNG, Defendants, and ANN LEE, Relief Defendant.	Case No. CV 09-2555 MMC (proposed) ORDER EXTENDING STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT JIN CHUNG))))))))))))))))))
(proposed) Order Extending Statutory Restrainin	g Order Case No. CV 09-2555 MMC
	glowe@cftc.gov Luke Marsh, DC Bar No. 475635 marsh@cftc.gov Toye Olarinde, VA Bar No. 43075 aolarinde@cftc.gov Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st St. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581 Telephone (202) 418-5322 Facsimile (202) 418-5531 Attorneys for Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading UNITED STATES INORTHERN DISTRIF SAN FRANCIS UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff, vs. SNC ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., formerly Son and Company, Inc., SNC INVESTMENTS, INC., PETER SON and JIN K. CHUNG, Defendants, and ANN LEE, Relief Defendant.

Case3:09-cv-02555-MMC Document22 Filed06/19/09 Page2 of 3

1	This matter came before the Court on the Court's June 10, 2009 Order to Show Cause
2	why a preliminary injunction should not issue pursuant to Section 6c of the Commodity
3	Exchange Act as amended (the "CEA"), 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2006). D.E. 10. The Court has
4	reviewed and considered the Complaint, Plaintiff CFTC's ex parte Application for a Statutory
5	Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause, Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities
6 7	and all exhibits, declarations, testimony and evidence submitted therewith, Plaintiff
8	Commission's Application for Preliminary Injunction and Motion to Extend Statutory
9	Restraining Order as to Defendant Chung, and all other written and oral submissions of counsel
10	presented to the Court.
11	The Court finds that plaintiff Commission has demonstrated that it attempted to provide
12	Defendant Jin K. Chung with actual notice of the Statutory Restraining Order and Order to Show
13	Cause. By Order of this Court, the Statutory Restraining Order expires at 8:00 a.m. PDT on June
14	24, 2009, unless extended by the Court. Based on the Plaintiff Commission's papers, including
15	the Declaration of Toye Olarinde in Support of the Preliminary Injunction, good cause exists to
16	extend the terms of the Statutory Restraining Order to allow the Commission additional time to
17	notify Defendant Chung of the Statutory Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause.
18	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, to the extent it relates to Defendant Jin K.
19	Chung only, the Court's June 10, 2009 Statutory Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause
20	(D.E. 10) is extended until July 9, 2009 at 8, a.m.
21	(2.2. 10) is threates said, 2009 is,
22	IT IS ELIDTHED ODDEDED THAT Defendent lin V. Chung shell annean before this
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Defendant Jin K. Chung shall appear before this
24	Court on the 7th day of July, 2009, at 4, p.m., before the Honorable
25	Maxine M. Chesney at the United States Courthouse for the Northern District of California, 450
26	Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, to show cause, if there be any, why an
27	Order for Preliminary Injunction should not be granted to prohibit further violations of the Act
28	

1	and why the other relief requested should not be granted pending trial on the merits of this
2	action.
3	Should Defendant Jin K. Chung wish to file a memorandum of law or other papers in
4	opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, all papers shall be filed on or
5	before July 2 , 2009 and served via facsimile or overnight delivery to the
7	Commission's Washington D.C. office no later than4 o'clock _pm. on PDT
8	July 2, 2009. Any reply papers shall be filed with the Court and delivered
9	to opposing counsel no later than1 o'clockp.m. on _PST on July 6, 2009.
10	Service of all papers shall be by electronic mail, facsimile, or personal service.
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED, in San Francisco, California on this 19th day of July 2009.
13	
14 15	Mafine M. Cheken UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUNE
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUNG
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
25	
26	
27	
28	